

Sustainable manufacturing – An overview and a conceptual framework for continuous transformation and competitiveness

Hussain, S.^{a,*}, Jahanzaib, M.^a

^aIndustrial Engineering Department, University of Engineering and Technology, Taxila, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Sustainable manufacturing is advancing amidst the changing context and emerging paradigms. Business success and longterm sustainability today depends upon transforming continuously, and maintaining competitiveness through building context specific capabilities. To address these needs, this research presented a comprehensive overview of sustainable manufacturing, in first part, contributed by numerous aspects in the field. The second part proposes a conceptual framework comprising three interconnected elements: 'Ideal', 'Strategy', 'Architecture'. The ideal is best depicted as an exploration and choice context. Synthesis of stakeholders' desires and systematic discovery of opportunities, in context of larger containing systems, manifestes into desired attributes and characteristics of products, technology and enterprise system that are to be approached continuously. The strategy element is a match and transformation context. Strategic planning, focussed on continuous identification and building of capabilities, evolves into a broader concept of the business which enhances the firm's capacity to adapt to changing contexts and meet its proposed ends. The architecture is a function and execution context at the operational level. It combines capabilities, organization and operational structure, and value creation processes to perform desired function in context of an agreed upon business concept. A systemic and potentially viable approach, embedded with specific capabilities to integrate sustainability into the core of a manufacturing business, is thus proposed which sets this research work apart. Contextual interrogation gives it a new way of constructing the big picture of the issue, i.e. sustainable manufacturing. This simplistic scheme is supplemented and guided by multi-aspect research in sustainable manufacturing, circular economy, capabilities, strategy and transformation, and systems thinking. The proposed framework is expected to fulfill the key needs of enterprises in sustainable manufacturing business, i.e. to transform and maintain competitiveness in a fast paced environment.

© 2018 CPE, University of Maribor. All rights reserved.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Sustainable manufacturing (SM);
Sustainability;
Circular economy (CE);
Strategy;
Architecture;
Capabilities;
Systems thinking (ST)

*Corresponding author:

Sajj2u@yahoo.com
(Hussain, S.)

Article history:

Received 17 November 2017

Revised 8 August 2018

Accepted 24 August 2018

References

- [1] Dufloy, J.R., Sutherland, J.W., Dornfeld, D., Herrmann, C., Jeswiet, J., Kara, S., Hauschild, M., Kellens, K. (2012). Towards energy and resource efficient manufacturing: A processes and systems approach, *CIRP Annals*, Vol. 61, No. 2, 587-609, [doi: 10.1016/j.cirp.2012.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2012.05.002).
- [2] Bi, Z. (2011). Revisiting system paradigms from the viewpoint of manufacturing sustainability, *Sustainability*, Vol. 3, No. 9, 1323-1340, [doi: 10.3390/su3091323](https://doi.org/10.3390/su3091323).
- [3] Garetti, M., Taisch, M. (2012). Sustainable manufacturing: Trends and research challenges, *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 23, No. 2-3, 83-104, [doi: 10.1080/09537287.2011.591619](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2011.591619).
- [4] Gopalakrishnan, K., Yusuf, Y.Y., Musa, A., Abubakar, T., Ambursa, H.M. (2012). Sustainable supply chain management: A case study of British aerospace (BAE) systems, *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 140, No. 1, 193-203, [doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.003).
- [5] ElMaraghy, H., Schuh, G., ElMaraghy, W., Piller, F., Schönsleben, P., Tseng, M., Bernard, A. (2013). Product variety management, *CIRP Annals*, Vol. 62, No. 2, 629-652, [doi: 10.1016/j.cirp.2013.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2013.05.007).

- [6] Jawahir, I.S., Bradley, R. (2016). Technological elements of circular economy and the principles of 6R-based closed-loop material flow in sustainable manufacturing, *Procedia CIRP*, Vol. 40, 103-108, doi: [10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.067).
- [7] Zhang, H., Calvo-Amodio, J., Haapala, K.R. (2015). Establishing foundational concepts for sustainable manufacturing systems assessment through systems thinking, *International Journal of Strategic Engineering Asset Management*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 249-269, doi: [10.1504/IJSEAM.2015.072124](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSEAM.2015.072124).
- [8] Lönngren, J., Svanström, M. (2016), Systems thinking for dealing with wicked sustainability problems: Beyond functionalist approaches, In: Leal Filho, W., Nesbit, S. (eds.), *New developments in engineering education for sustainable development*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 151-160, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-32933-8_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32933-8_14).
- [9] Jackson, M.C. (2006). Creative holism: A critical systems approach to complex problem situations, *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, Vol. 23, No. 5, 647-657, doi: [10.1002/sres.799](https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.799).
- [10] Gharajedaghi, J. (2011), *Systems thinking: Managing chaos and complexity: A platform for designing business architecture, Third edition*, Elsevier, Burlington, USA.
- [11] Gaziulusoy, A.I. (2015). A critical review of approaches available for design and innovation teams through the perspective of sustainability science and system innovation theories, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 107, 366-377, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.012).
- [12] World Economic Forum (prepared in collaboration with Ellen MacArthur Foundation and McKinsey & Company). Towards the circular economy: Accelerating the scale-up across global supply chains, from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_ENV_TowardsCircularEconomy_Report_2014.pdf, accessed August 23, 2016.
- [13] Teece, D.J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance, *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 28, No. 13, 1319-1350, doi: [10.1002/smj.64](https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.64).
- [14] Oertwig, N., Galeitzke, M., Schmiege, H.-G., Kohl, H., Jochem, R., Orth, R., Knothe, T. (2017). Integration of sustainability into the corporate strategy, In: Stark, R., Seliger, G., Bonvoisin, J. (eds.), *Sustainable manufacturing: Challenges, solutions and implementation perspectives*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-48514-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48514-0).
- [15] Tonelli, F., Evans, S., Taticchi, P. (2013). Industrial sustainability: Challenges, perspectives, actions, *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 143-163, doi: [10.1504/IJBIR.2013.052576](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIR.2013.052576).
- [16] Koho, M., Tapaninaho, M., Heilala, J., Torvinen, S. (2015). Towards a concept for realizing sustainability in the manufacturing industry, *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 12-22, doi: [10.1080/21681015.2014.1000402](https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2014.1000402).
- [17] Subic, A., Shabani, B., Hedayati, M., Crossin, E. (2012). Capability framework for sustainable manufacturing of sports apparel and footwear, *Sustainability*, Vol. 4, No. 9, 2127-2145, doi: [10.3390/su4092127](https://doi.org/10.3390/su4092127).
- [18] Masood, I., Jahanzaib, M., Haider, A. (2016). Tool wear and cost evaluation of face milling grade 5 titanium alloy for sustainable machining, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 11, No. 3, doi: [10.14743/apem2016.3.224](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2016.3.224).
- [19] Hassine, H., Barkallah, M., Bellacicco, A., Louati, J., Riviere, A., Haddar, M. (2015). Multi objective optimization for sustainable manufacturing, application in turning, *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 98-109, doi: [10.2507/IJSIMM14\(1\)9.292](https://doi.org/10.2507/IJSIMM14(1)9.292).
- [20] Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 240, No. 3, 603-626, doi: [10.1016/j.ejor.2014.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.07.012).
- [21] Schenkel, M., Caniëls, M.C.J., Krikke, H., van der Laan, E. (2015). Understanding value creation in closed loop supply chains – Past findings and future directions, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 37, Part 3, 729-745, doi: [10.1016/j.jmsy.2015.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2015.04.009).
- [22] Ageron, B., Gunasekaran, A., Spalanzani, A. (2012). Sustainable supply management: An empirical study, *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 140, No. 1, 168-182, doi: [10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.04.007).
- [23] Galal, N.M., El-Kilany, K.S. (2016). Sustainable agri-food supply chain with uncertain demand and lead time, *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 485-496, doi: [10.2507/IJSIMM15\(3\)18.350](https://doi.org/10.2507/IJSIMM15(3)18.350).
- [24] Fiksel, J. (2003). Designing resilient, sustainable systems, *Environmental Science & Technology*, Vol. 37, No. 23, 5330-5339, doi: [10.1021/es0344819](https://doi.org/10.1021/es0344819).
- [25] Fiksel, J. (2012). A systems view of sustainability: The triple value model, *Environmental Development*, Vol. 2, 138-141, doi: [10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2012.03.015).
- [26] Moldavska, A. (2016). Model-based sustainability assessment – An enabler for transition to sustainable manufacturing, *Procedia CIRP*, Vol. 48, 413-418, doi: [10.1016/j.procir.2016.04.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.04.059).
- [27] Moldavska, A., Welo, T. (2016). Development of manufacturing sustainability assessment using systems thinking, *Sustainability*, Vol. 8, No. 1, doi: [10.3390/su8010005](https://doi.org/10.3390/su8010005).
- [28] Terzi, S., Bouras, A., Dutta, D., Garetti, M., Kiritsis, D. (2010). Product lifecycle management – From its history to its new role, *International Journal of Product Lifecycle Management*, Vol. 4, No. 4, 360-389, doi: [10.1504/IJPLM.2010.036489](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPLM.2010.036489).
- [29] Alayón, C., Säfsten, K., Johansson, G. (2016). Conceptual sustainable production principles in practice: Do they reflect what companies do?, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 141, 693-701, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.079).
- [30] Esmaeilian, B., Behdad, S., Wang, B. (2016). The evolution and future of manufacturing: A review, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 39, 79-100, doi: [10.1016/j.jmsy.2016.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2016.03.001).
- [31] Haas, W., Krausmann, F., Wiedenhofer, D., Heinz, M. (2015). How circular is the global economy?: An assessment of material flows, waste production, and recycling in the European union and the world in 2005, *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, Vol. 19, No. 5, 765-777, doi: [10.1111/jiec.12244](https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12244).

- [32] Ellen MacArthur Foundation. Towards a circular economy: Business rationale for an accelerated transition, from https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/TCE_Ellen-MacArthur-Foundation_9-Dec-2015.pdf, accessed October 7, 2016.
- [33] McDonough, W., Braungart, M., Anastas, P.T., Zimmerman, J.B. (2003). Peer reviewed: Applying the principles of green engineering to cradle-to-cradle design, *Environmental Science & Technology*, Vol. 37, No. 23, 434-441, doi: [10.1021/es0326322](https://doi.org/10.1021/es0326322).
- [34] McDonough, W., Braungart, M. (2002). Design for the triple top line: New tools for sustainable commerce, *Corporate Environmental Strategy*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 251-258, doi: [10.1016/S1066-7938\(02\)00069-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1066-7938(02)00069-6).
- [35] World Economic Forum. Manufacturing our future: Cases on the future of manufacturing, from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/GAC16_The_Future_of_Manufacturing_report.pdf, accessed May 2, 2017.
- [36] Stock, T., Seliger, G. (2016). Opportunities of sustainable manufacturing in industry 4.0, *Procedia CIRP*, Vol. 40, 536-541, doi: [10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.129](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.129).
- [37] Miroshnychenko, I., Barontini, R., Testa, F. (2017). Green practices and financial performance: A global outlook, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 147, 340-351, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.058).
- [38] Abdul Rashid, S.H., Evans, S., Longhurst, P. (2008). A comparison of four sustainable manufacturing strategies, *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 214-229, doi: [10.1080/19397030802513836](https://doi.org/10.1080/19397030802513836).
- [39] Chatha, K., Butt, I. (2015). Themes of study in manufacturing strategy literature, *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 35, No. 4, 604-698, doi: [10.1108/IJOPM-07-2013-0328](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-07-2013-0328).
- [40] Kappelman, L.A., Zachman, J.A. (2013). The enterprise and its architecture: Ontology & challenges, *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, Vol. 53, No. 4, 87-95, doi: [10.1080/08874417.2013.11645654](https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2013.11645654).
- [41] van Iwaarden, J., van der Wiele, T. (2012). The effects of increasing product variety and shortening product life cycles on the use of quality management systems, *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 29, No. 5, 470-500, doi: [10.1108/02656711211230481](https://doi.org/10.1108/02656711211230481).
- [42] Halog, A., Manik, Y. (2011). Advancing integrated systems modelling framework for life cycle sustainability assessment, *Sustainability*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 469-499, doi: [10.3390/su3020469](https://doi.org/10.3390/su3020469).
- [43] Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Roadmap for sustainability assessment in european process industries, Technical report, from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301823430>, accessed 13 May 2016.
- [44] Zhang, H., Haapala, K.R. (2015). Integrating sustainable manufacturing assessment into decision making for a production work cell, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 105, 52-63, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.038).
- [45] Hendrickx, H.H.M. (2015). Business architect: A critical role in enterprise transformation, *Journal of Enterprise Transformation*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1-29, doi: [10.1080/19488289.2014.893933](https://doi.org/10.1080/19488289.2014.893933).
- [46] University of Cambridge. State-of-practice in business modelling and value-networks, emphasising potential future models that could deliver sustainable value, from http://www.sustainvalue.eu/publications/D2_1_Final_Rev1_0_web.pdf, accessed November 10, 2016.
- [47] Grassl, W. (2012). Business models of social enterprise: A design approach to hybridity, *ACRN Journal of Entrepreneurship Perspectives*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 37-60.
- [48] Ackoff, R.L. (1999), *Re-creating the corporation: A design of organizations for the 21st century*, Oxford University Press, USA.
- [49] Mathews, F. (2011). Towards a deeper philosophy of biomimicry, *Organization & Environment*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 364-387, doi: [10.1177/1086026611425689](https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026611425689).
- [50] Apple Inc. Environmental responsibility report, from https://images.apple.com/environment/pdf/Apple_Environmental_Responsibility_Report_2017.pdf, accessed May 15, 2017.
- [51] Ocampo, L.A., Clark, E.E., Tanudtanud, K.V.G., Ocampo, C.O.V., Impas Sr, C.G., Vergara, V.G., Pastoril, J., Tordillo, J.A.S. (2015). An integrated sustainable manufacturing strategy framework using fuzzy analytic network process, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 125-139, doi: [10.14743/apem2015.3.197](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2015.3.197).
- [52] Haftor, D.M. (2011). An evaluation of R.L. Ackoff's interactive planning: A case-based approach, *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, Vol. 24, No. 4, 355-377, doi: [10.1007/s11213-010-9188-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11213-010-9188-y).
- [53] Rhyne, R.F. (1994). Contextual discipline: Its essentiality within social-systems analysis, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 47, No. 3, 277-292, doi: [10.1016/0040-1625\(94\)90069-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1625(94)90069-8).
- [54] Amer, M., Daim, T.U., Jetter, A. (2013). A review of scenario planning, *Futures*, Vol. 46, 23-40, doi: [10.1016/j.futures.2012.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2012.10.003).
- [55] Osterwalder, A., Pigneur, Y. (2010), *Business model generation: A handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers*, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.
- [56] Midgley, G. How can co-creation produce better outcomes for people and communities?, from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303752603>, accessed July 24, 2016, doi: [10.13140/RG.2.1.2373.3360](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2373.3360).
- [57] Midgley, G. (2000), *Systemic intervention: Philosophy, methodology and practice*, Springer Science, New York, USA, doi: [10.1007/978-1-4615-4201-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4201-8).
- [58] Ackoff, R.L. (1990). Strategy, *Systems Practice*, Vol. 3, No. 6, 521-524, doi: [10.1007/BF01059636](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01059636).
- [59] Hayes, R.H., Pisano, G.P. (1996). Manufacturing strategy: At the intersection of two paradigm shifts, *Production and Operations Management*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 25-41, doi: [10.1111/j.1937-5956.1996.tb00383.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.1996.tb00383.x).
- [60] Bilge, P., Seliger, G., Badurdeen, F., Jawahir, I.S. (2016). A novel framework for achieving sustainable value creation through industrial engineering principles, *Procedia CIRP*, Vol. 40, 516-523, doi: [10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.126](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.126).
- [61] Mills, J., Platts, K., Bourne, M. (2003). Competence and resource architectures, *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 23, No. 9, 977-994, doi: [10.1108/01443570310491738](https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570310491738).
- [62] World Economic Forum. The future of manufacturing: Driving capabilities, enabling investments, from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/Media/GAC14/Future_of_Manufacturing_Driving_Capabilities.pdf, accessed May 2, 2017.

- [63] Checkland, P. (2012). Four conditions for serious systems thinking and action, *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, Vol. 29, No. 5, 465-469, doi: [10.1002/sres.2158](https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2158).
- [64] Zott, C., Amit, R. (2010). Business model design: An activity system perspective, *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 43, No. 2-3, 216-226, doi: [10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.004).

Trajnostna proizvodnja – Pregled in konceptualni okvir za stalno preoblikovanje in konkurenčnost

Hussain, S.^{a,*}, Jahanzaib, M.^a

^aIndustrial Engineering Department, University of Engineering and Technology, Taxila, Pakistan

POVZETEK

Trajnostna proizvodnja se prilagaja spreminjajočim se okvirjem in nastajajočimi paradigmam. Poslovni uspeh in dolgoročna trajnost sta danes odvisni od sposobnosti stalnega preoblikovanja in ohranjanja konkurenčnosti z razvijanjem sposobnosti glede na trenutne okoliščine. V raziskavi je v prvem delu predstavljen celovit pregled trajnostne proizvodnje, z ozirom na številne dejavnike s tega področja. V drugem delu je zasnovan okvir, ki ga sestavljajo trije medsebojno povezani elementi: "Zgled", "Strategija", "Arhitektura". Zgled lahko razumemo v kontekstu raziskovanja in izbire. Sinteza želja zainteresiranih strank in odkritje novih priložnosti, nastalih z delovanjem nadrejenih sistemov, se odraža tako v lastnostih in značilnostih izdelkov, kot tudi v tehnološkem in podjetniškem sistemu. Strateško načrtovanje predstavlja širši koncept podjetja in se osredotoča na odkrivanje in izgradnjo zmogljivosti, ki povečujejo sposobnost podjetja, da se prilagaja spremembam in izpolnjuje predlagane cilje. Arhitektura je funkcija in izvedbeni kontekst na operativni ravni. Združuje zmogljivosti, organizacijo, operativno strukturo in postopke ustvarjanja vrednosti, da opravlja zeleno funkcijo v okviru dogovorjenega poslovnega koncepta. V prispevku je predlagan sistemski in potencialno izvedljiv pristop, opremljen s posebnimi zmožnostmi za vključitev trajnosti v jedro proizvodnega podjetja, kar je posebnost raziskave. Kontekstno izpraševanje daje pristopu nov način za oblikovanje širše slike problema, tj. trajnostne proizvodnje. To poenostavljeno shemo dopolnjujejo in vodijo številne raziskave trajnostne proizvodnje, krožnega gospodarstva, zmogljivosti, strategije in transformacije ter sistemskega razmišljanja. Predlagani okvir naj bi izpolnil ključne potrebe podjetij za poslovanje s trajnostno proizvodnjo, tj. preoblikovanje in ohranjanje konkurenčnosti v hitro spreminjajočem se okolju.

© 2018 CPE, University of Maribor. All rights reserved.

PODATKI O ČLANKU

Ključne besede:

Trajnostna proizvodnja (SM);
Trajnost;
Krožno gospodarstvo (CE);
Strategija;
Arhitektura;
Zmogljivost;
Sistemsko razmišljanje (ST)

**Kontaktna oseba:*

Sajj2u@yahoo.com
(Hussain, S.)

Zgodovina članka:

Prejet 17. novembra 2017
Popravljen 8. avgusta 2018
Sprejet 24. avgusta 2018