

Effect of the manufacturer quality inspection policy on the supply chain decision-making and profits

Hu, H.^{a,*}, Wu, Q.^a, Zhang, Z.^a, Han, S.^a

^aSchool of Economics and Management, Yanshan University, Qinghuangdao, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

Due to competitive pressure and information asymmetry, manufacturers will produce quality inspection avoidance behaviour to gain short-term economic benefits, but this behaviour affects the ultimate quality and safety of the product. This paper studies the two-echelon supply chain consisting of a manufacturer and a retailer, and analyses whether the manufacturer's quality inspection avoidance behaviour model is considered or not. This paper discusses the impact of quality inspection level, quality loss cost, product repair cost, product return rate on the profit and optimal decision-making behaviour of both actors of the supply chain. It is found that when the manufacturer's quality inspection avoidance level is high, the increase of retailer' quality inspection effort level, manufacturer's internal failure cost, consumer product return rate and retailer' external quality loss cost will lead to the decrease of manufacturer's quality effort level instead of increasing. Finally, the numerical study is given to verify the above conclusion, and analysed the influence of different parameters on the optimal decision and supply chain actors profits.

© 2019 CPE, University of Maribor. All rights reserved.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Supply chain;
Decision-making;
Quality inspection policy;
Quality inspection avoidance;
Incentive mechanism;
Product return;
Profit

*Corresponding author:

huhaiju@ysu.edu.cn
(Hu, H.)

Article history:

Received 10 July 2019
Revised 6 December 2019
Accepted 12 December 2019

References

- [1] Yoo, S.H. (2014). Product quality and return policy in a supply chain under risk aversion of a supplier, *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 154, 146-155, [doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.04.012).
- [2] Jeong, E.-B., Park, G.-W., Yoo, S.H. (2019). Incentive mechanism for sustainable improvement in a supply chain, *Sustainability*, Vol. 11, No. 13, [doi: 10.3390/su11133508](https://doi.org/10.3390/su11133508).
- [3] Liu, Y., Li, J., Quan, B.-T., Yang, J.-B. (2019). Decision analysis and coordination of two-stage supply chain considering cost information asymmetry of corporate social responsibility, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 228, 1073-1087, [doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.247](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.247).
- [4] Gao, C., Cheng, T.C.E., Shen, H., Xu, L. (2016). Incentives for quality improvement efforts coordination in supply chains with partial cost allocation contract, *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 54, No. 20, 6216-6231, [doi: 10.1080/00207543.2016.1191691](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2016.1191691).
- [5] Fan, J., Iang, X., Ni, D. (2019). A study on corporate social responsibility and product quality in supply chains under different channel power structures, *Chinese Journal of Management*, Vol. 16, No. 5, 754-764.
- [6] Liu, Y., Quan, B.-T., Li, J., Forrest, J.Y.-L. (2018). A supply chain coordination mechanism with cost sharing of corporate social responsibility, *Sustainability*, Vol. 10, No. 4, [doi: 10.3390/su10041227](https://doi.org/10.3390/su10041227).
- [7] Starbird, S.A. (2001). Penalties, rewards, and inspection: Provisions for quality in supply chain contracts, *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 52, No. 1, 109-115, [doi: 10.1057/palgrave.jors.2601048](https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2601048).
- [8] Zhang, C.-H., Ren, J.-Y., Yu, H.-B. (2006). Supply chain collaboration mechanism based on penalty and bonus under asymmetric information, *Chinese Journal of Management Science*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 32-37.
- [9] Chao, G.H., Iravani, S.M.R., Savaskan, R.C. (2009). Quality improvement incentives and product recall cost sharing contracts, *Management Science*, Vol. 55, No. 7, 1122-1138, [doi: 10.1287/mnsc.1090.1008](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1090.1008).
- [10] Lee, C.H., Rhee, B.-D., Cheng, T.C.E. (2013). Quality uncertainty and quality-compensation contract for supply chain coordination, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 228, No. 3, 582-591, [doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2013.02.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2013.02.027).

- [11] Hu, H., Djebarni, R., Zhao, X., Xiao, L., Flynn, B. (2017). Effect of different food recall strategies on consumers' reaction to different recall norms: A comparative study, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 117, No. 9, 2045-2063, [doi: 10.1108/IMDS-10-2016-0464](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-10-2016-0464).
- [12] Huang, F., Song, H.-M., Yang, H., Yang, J.-M., Wang, Q.-L., Wu, J.-W. (2019). The impact of money back guarantees on quality and service of supply chain products, *Industrial Engineering and Management*, Vol. 24, No. 3.
- [13] McWilliams, B. (2012). Money-back guarantees: Helping the low-quality retailer, *Management Science*, Vol. 58, No. 8, 1521-1524, [doi: 10.1287/mnsc.1110.1497](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1110.1497).
- [14] Zhang, J. (2014). Quality improvement or perception enhancement? The role of consumer behavior and returns policy, *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 56-80.
- [15] Giannoccaro, I., Pontrandolfo, P. (2004). Supply chain coordination by revenue sharing contracts, *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 89, No. 2, 131-139, [doi: 10.1016/s0925-5273\(03\)00047-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-5273(03)00047-1).
- [16] Xiao, D., Pan, K.-W. (2012). Quality control and coordination mechanism in supply chain based on revenue sharing contract, *Chinese Journal of Management Science*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 67-73.
- [17] Yan, F., Liang, G.-Q., Liu, X., Nin, L. (2018). Supply chain contract coordination considering supplier's quality investment under fairness preference, *Operations Research and Management Science*, Vol. 27, No. 3, 50-58.
- [18] Zhu, L.-L., Yu, T., Xia, T.S. (2013). Product quality control contract model in a two-echelon supply chain, *Chinese Journal of Management Science*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 71-79.
- [19] Babich, V., Tang, C.S. (2012). Managing opportunistic supplier product adulteration: Deferred payments, inspection, and combined mechanisms, *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 301-314, [doi: 10.1287/msom.1110.0366](https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.1110.0366).
- [20] Cao, K., He, P. (2018). Price and warranty competition in a supply chain with a common retailer, *INFOR: Information Systems and Operational Research*, Vol. 56, No. 2, 225-246, [doi: 10.1080/03155986.2017.1363590](https://doi.org/10.1080/03155986.2017.1363590).
- [21] Cao, Y., Hu, H.-L., Wan, G.-Y. (2017). Game analysis and mechanism choices of supplier product adulteration behavior, *Operations Research and Management Science*, Vol. 26, No. 7, 54-63.
- [22] Kumar, V., Ekwall, D., Wang, L. (2016). Supply chain strategies for quality inspection under a customer return policy: A game theoretical approach, *Entropy*, Vol. 18, No. 12, [doi: 10.3390/e18120440](https://doi.org/10.3390/e18120440).
- [23] Nazifa, T.H., Ramachandran, K.K. (2019). Information sharing in supply chain management: A case study between the cooperative partners in manufacturing industry, *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 19-47.