

Supply chain engineering: Considering parameters for sustainable overseas intermodal transport of small consignments

Beškovnik, B.^{a,*}

^aFaculty of maritime studies and transport, University of Ljubljana, Portorož, Slovenia

ABSTRACT

An increasingly environmentally conscious global economy is placing new demands on supply chain engineering, with a focus on sustainable approaches to modelling transport chain. In addition to the price and time efficiencies that characterize agile and lean supply chains, strategies for low-carbon and energy-efficient external transport must also be incorporated. This research therefore focuses on the challenges of organizing the supply of small overseas shipments to define how the relationship between land and sea transport in the selected intermodal chain affects environmental and energy performance. Understanding the input parameters and their impacts is a prerequisite for planning CO₂, NO_x, SO₂ and NMHC emissions, as well as energy efficiency (EE) of overseas transportation. The number of individual transport legs and their characteristics are crucial parameters for sustainable transport chains. The applicability of the proposed research framework is carried out on the example of outbound supply chains of the southern part of the Baltic-Adriatic Corridor using intermodal transport chains of small shipments via the ports of Koper and Genoa. The results of the case study show that an additional transport leg representing only 2 % longer land transport to the port of Genoa significantly affects the carbon footprint of the whole supply chain's compared to chains via the port of Koper. Moreover, other results also require special attention in supply chain modeling. The study enriches the field of supply chain engineering, as there is a lack of such studies. The study is part of the project "Green port – Developing a sustainable model for the growth of the green port", co-founded by the Slovenian Research Agency.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Supply chain;
Engineering;
Intermodal transport;
Sustainability;
Low-carbon transport;
Energy efficiency;
Small overseas shipments;
Green port;
Energy efficiency

***Corresponding author:**
bojan.beskovnik@fpp.uni-lj.si
(Beškovnik, B.)

Article history:
Received 13 November 2022
Revised 4 March 2023
Accepted 12 March 2023



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

References

- [1] Seuring, S. (2013). A review of modeling approaches for sustainable supply chain management, *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 54, No. 4, 1513-1520, doi: [10.1016/j.dss.2012.05.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2012.05.053).
- [2] Liu, J., Yuan, C., Hafeez, M., Yuan, Q. (2018). The relationship between environment and logistics performance: Evidence from Asian countries, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 204, 282-291, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.310](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.310).
- [3] Colicchia, C., Creazza, A., Dallari, F. (2017). Lean and green supply chain management through intermodal transport: Insights from the fast moving consumer goods industry, *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 321-334, doi: [10.1080/09537287.2017.1282642](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2017.1282642).
- [4] Martin, J., Thomas, B.J. (2001). The container terminal community, *Maritime Policy & Management*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 279-292, doi: [10.1080/03088830110060831](https://doi.org/10.1080/03088830110060831).
- [5] Herold, D.M., Lee, K.-H. (2017). Carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector: An overview and new research directions, *Carbon Management*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 79-97, doi: [10.1080/17583004.2017.1283923](https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2017.1283923).
- [6] Beškovnik, B., Stojaković, M. (2019). Establishing an efficient outbound overseas logistics chain of small consignments: The perspective of Eastern Adriatic region, *Transport Problems*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 113-125.

- [7] Carter, C.R., Easton, P.L. (2011). Sustainable supply chain management: Evolution and future directions, *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 41, No. 1, 46-62, doi: [10.1108/09600031111101420](https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031111101420).
- [8] Priya, R.S., Aroulmoji, V. (2020). Supply chain and value chain engineering – Review, *International Journal of Advanced Science and Engineering*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 1691-1699, doi: [10.29294/IJASE.7.2.2020.1691-1699](https://doi.org/10.29294/IJASE.7.2.2020.1691-1699).
- [9] Zhang, R., Yun, W.Y., Kopfer, H. (2010). Heuristic-based truck scheduling for inland container transportation, *OR Spectrum*. Vol. 32, 787-808, doi: [10.1007/s00291-010-0193-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00291-010-0193-4).
- [10] Bergqvist, R. (2013). Developing large-scale dry ports – The case of Arriyadh, *Journal of Transportation Technologies*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 194-203, doi: [10.4236/jtts.2013.33020](https://doi.org/10.4236/jtts.2013.33020).
- [11] Jamrus, T., Chien, C.-F. (2016). Extended priority-based hybrid genetic algorithm for the less-than container loading problem, *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 96, 227-236, doi: [10.1016/j.cie.2016.03.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.03.030).
- [12] Acciaro, M., McKinnon, A. (2015). Efficient hinterland transport infrastructure and services for large container ports, In: *Port Investment and Container Shipping Markets*, OECD Publishing, Paris, France, 75-101, doi: [10.1787/9789282107850-5-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/9789282107850-5-en).
- [13] Creazza, A., Dallari, F., Melacini, M. (2010). Evaluating logistics network configurations for a global supply chain, *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 154-164, doi: [10.1108/13598541011028750](https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541011028750).
- [14] Fang, I.W., Lin, W.-T. (2021). A multi-objective optimal decision model for a green closed-loop supply chain under uncertainty: A real industrial case study, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 161-172, doi: [10.14743/apem2021.2.391](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2021.2.391).
- [15] López-Navarro, M.Á. (2014). Environmental factors and intermodal freight transportation: Analysis of the decision bases in the case of Spanish motorways of the sea, *Sustainability*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1544-1566, doi: [10.3390/su6031544](https://doi.org/10.3390/su6031544).
- [16] Žic, J., Žic, S. (2020). Multi-criteria decision making in supply chain management based on inventory levels, environmental impact and costs, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 151-163, doi: [10.14743/apem2020.2.355](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2020.2.355).
- [17] McKinnon, A.C., (2016). Freight transport deceleration: Its possible contribution to the decarbonisation of logistics, *Transport Reviews*, Vol. 36, No. 4, 418-436, doi: [10.1080/01441647.2015.1137992](https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2015.1137992).
- [18] Lun, Y.H.V., Lai, K., Wong, C.W.Y., Cheng, T.C.E. (2016). Evaluation of green shipping networks, In: Lun, Y.H.V., Lai, K., Wong, C.W.Y., Cheng, T.C.E., (eds.), *Green Shipping Management, Shipping and Transport Logistics*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 77-90, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-26482-0_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26482-0_6).
- [19] Pizzol, M. (2019). Deterministic and stochastic carbon footprint of intermodal ferry and truck freight transport across Scandinavian routes, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 224, 626-636, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.270](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.270).
- [20] Tran, N.K., Haasis, H.-D., Buer, T. (2017). Container shipping route design incorporating the costs of shipping, inland/feeder transport, inventory and CO₂ emission, *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, Vol. 19, 667-694, doi: [10.1057/mel.2016.11](https://doi.org/10.1057/mel.2016.11).
- [21] Jugović, A., Sirotić, M., Žgaljić, D., Oblak, R. (2022). Assessing the possibilities of integrating ports into the circular economy, *Tehnički Vjesnik – Technical Gazette*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 721-730, doi: [10.17559/TV-20200327221233](https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20200327221233).
- [22] Jugović, A., Slišković, M., Vukić, L. (2018). Concept of external costs calculation in the ports: Environmental impacts, *Tehnički Vjesnik – Technical Gazette*, Vol. 25, Supplement 2, 495-502, doi: [10.17559/TV-20161201113235](https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20161201113235).
- [23] Huang, Q.L., Wang, W.J., Liang, X.J., Xu, L., Niu, X.Y., Yang, X.Y. (2022). Last-mile delivery optimization considering the demand of market distribution methods: A case studies using Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search algorithm, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 350-366, doi: [10.14743/apem2022.3.441](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2022.3.441).
- [24] Qian, C., Wang, S., Liu, X., Zhang, X. (2019). Low-carbon initiatives of logistics service providers: The perspective of supply chain integration, *Sustainability*, Vol. 11, No. 12, Article No. 3233, doi: [10.3390/su11123233](https://doi.org/10.3390/su11123233).
- [25] Evangelista, P., Santoro, L., Thomas, A. (2018). Environmental sustainability in third-party logistics service providers: A systematic literature review from 2000-2016, *Sustainability*, Vol. 10, No. 5, Article No. 1627, doi: [10.3390/su10051627](https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051627).
- [26] Beškovnik, B., Zanne, M., Dlabac, T., Ivošević, Š. (2020). Green transport chains analysis: Pollution vs. price and time elements on Asia - Eastern Adriatic trade, *Our Sea, International Journal of Maritime Science & Technology*, Vol. 67, No. 1, 36-44, doi: [10.17818/NM/2020/1.6](https://doi.org/10.17818/NM/2020/1.6).
- [27] Beškovnik, B., Golnar, M. (2020). Evaluating the environmental impact of complex intermodal transport chains, *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, Vol. 19, No. 7, 1131-1141, doi: [10.30638/eemj.2020.107](https://doi.org/10.30638/eemj.2020.107).
- [28] EWI – EcoTransIT Initiative. Ecological Transport Information Tool for Worldwide Transport Methodology and Data Update, from <https://www.ecotransit.world/en/methodology/>, accessed January 10, 2021.
- [29] European Standards. EN 16258 – Methodology for calculation and declaration of energy consumption and GHG emissions of transport services (freight and passengers), from <https://www.en-standard.eu/csn-en-16258-methodology-for-calculation-and-declaration-of-energy-consumption-and-ghg-emissions-of-transport-services-freight-and-passengers/>, accessed February 5, 2021.
- [30] GL Reynolds. The multi-issue mitigation potential of reducing ship speeds, Seas at Risk and Transport and Environment, from <https://seas-at-risk.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/2019.6.11.-Mitigation-ship-speeds.pdf>, accessed January 10, 2021.
- [31] Hu, Y.S., Zeng, L.H., Huang, Z.L., Cheng, Q. (2020). Optimal channel decision of retailers in the dual-channel supply chain considering consumer preference for delivery lead time, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 15, No. 4, 453-466, doi: [10.14743/apem2020.4.378](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2020.4.378).