

Engineering-to-order manufacturing: A criticality analysis of key challenges and solutions based on literature review

Fortes, C.S.^{a,b,*}, Tenera, A.B.^{b,c}, Cunha, P.F.^a, Teixeira, J.P.^b

^aEscola Superior de Tecnologia de Setúbal (ESTS), Instituto Politécnico de Setúbal, Portugal

^bUNIDEMI, DEMI, NOVA School of Science & Technology (FCT), Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Portugal

^cLASI, Laboratório Associado de Sistemas Inteligentes, Portugal

ABSTRACT

Engineer-to-Order (ETO) manufacturing companies involve customised production products based on specific customer requirements, and face a significant challenge. Some of those challenges are related with this type of company's activities in production scheduling, planning and control, efficiency improvement and lead time reduction. The present study was conducted with a systematic literature review and a survey from ETO firms to identify the most frequent and critical problems. Among the most critical issues identified is the difficulty in optimising production performance (P3), with a GCI value of 16, implying that both time and cost share the same critical level. An analysis using a proposed Criticality Matrix was then performed enabling companies to prioritise decision-making and resource allocation. The results highlight the importance of adopting mass customisation strategies, innovative approaches and workflow optimisation. Continuous monitoring and analysis of criticality levels can also help ETO companies identify emerging issues and improve informed decisions. Effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders were also identified as vital. Future research could be done expanding further the study sample and developing decision-support tools for ETO manufacturing companies. This study contributes to the field by providing a new criticality matrix for ETO companies to understand better and address their production challenges, aiding in decision-making and resource allocation.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Communication and collaboration;
Critical factors;
Decision-making;
Engineer-to-order;
Literature review;
Production planning and control;
Production scheduling;
Resource allocation;
Workflow optimisation

*Corresponding author:

Carlos.fortes@estsetubal.ips.pt
(Fortes, C.S.)

Article history:

Received 5 June 2023
Revised 23 June 2023
Accepted 28 June 2023



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

References

- [1] Jiang, C., Xi, J.T. (2019). Dynamic scheduling in the engineer-to-order (ETO) assembly process by the combined immune algorithm and simulated annealing method, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 271-283, [doi: 10.14743/apem2019.3.327](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2019.3.327).
- [2] Brachmann, R., Kolisch, R. (2021). The impact of flexibility on engineer-to-order production planning, *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 239, No. 108183, [doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108183).
- [3] Rother, E.T. (2007). Systematic literature review X narrative review, *Acta Paulista de Enfermagem*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 5-6, [doi: 10.1590/S0103-21002007000200001](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-21002007000200001).
- [4] Xiao, Y., Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review, *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, Vol. 39, No. 1, 93-112, [doi: 10.1177/0739456X17723971](https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971).
- [5] Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J.M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M.M., Li, T., Loder, E.W., Mayo-

- Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L.A., Stewart, L.A., Thomas, J., Tricco, A.C., Welch, V.A., Whiting, P., Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews, *International Journal of Surgery*, Vol. 88, Article No. 105906, doi: [10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906).
- [6] McCutcheon, K., Lohan, M., Traynor, M., Martin, D. (2015). A systematic review evaluating the impact of online or blended learning vs. face-to-face learning of clinical skills in undergraduate nurse education, *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, Vol. 71, No. 2, 255-270, doi: [10.1111/jan.12509](https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12509).
- [7] Turnbull, D., Chugh, R. Luck, J. (2021). Learning management systems: A review of the research methodology literature in Australia and China, *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, Vol. 44, No. 4, 164-178, doi: [10.1080/1743727X.2020.1737002](https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2020.1737002).
- [8] Raharjo, T., Purwandari, B. (2020). Agile project management challenges and mapping solutions: A systematic literature review, In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Software Engineering and Information Management*, Sydney, Australia, 123-129, doi: [10.1145/3378936.3378949](https://doi.org/10.1145/3378936.3378949).
- [9] Duda, J., Macioł, A., Jędrusik, S., Rębiasz, B., Stawowy, A., Sopińska-Lenart, M. (2021). Quick response manufacturing for high mix, low volume, high complexity manufacturers, *Management and Production Engineering Review*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 72-84, doi: [10.24425/mper.2021.136873](https://doi.org/10.24425/mper.2021.136873).
- [10] Papinniemi, J., Fritz, J., Hannola, L., Denger, A., Lampela, H. (2014). Lifecycle-based requirements of product-service system in customer-centric manufacturing. In: Fukuda, S., Bernard, A., Gurumoorthy, B., Bouras, A. (eds.), *Product lifecycle management for a global market, PLM 2014, IFIP Advances in information and communication technology*, Vol. 442. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 435-444, doi: [10.1007/978-3-662-45937-9_43](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45937-9_43).
- [11] Sjøbakk, B., Bakås, O., Bondarenko, O., Kamran, T. (2015). Designing a performance measurement system to support materials management in engineer-to-order: A case study, *Advances in Manufacturing*, Vol. 3, 111-122, doi: [10.1007/s40436-015-0109-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40436-015-0109-2).
- [12] Husejnagić, D., Sluga, A. (2015). A conceptual framework for a ubiquitous autonomous work system in the Engineer-To-Order environment, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 78, 1971-1988, doi: [10.1007/s00170-015-6798-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-6798-7).
- [13] Cigolini, R., Gosling, J., Iyer, A., Senicheva, O. (2020). Supply chain management in construction and engineer-to-order industries, *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 33, No. 9-10, 803-810, doi: [10.1080/09537287.2020.1837981](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2020.1837981).
- [14] Villar-Fidalgo, L., Espinosa Escudero, M.d.M., Dominguez Somonte, M. (2019). Applying kaizen to the schedule in a concurrent environment, *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 30, No. 8, 624-638, doi: [10.1080/09537287.2019.1566281](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1566281).
- [15] Cannas, V.G., Pero, M., Pozzi, R., Rossi, T. (2018). An empirical application of lean management techniques to support ETO design and production planning, *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, Vol. 51, No. 11, 134-139, doi: [10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.08.247](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.08.247).
- [16] Zheng, P., Xu, X., Yu, S., Liu, C. (2017). Personalized product configuration framework in an adaptable open architecture product platform, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 43, Part 3, 422-435, doi: [10.1016/j.jmsy.2017.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2017.03.010).
- [17] Vaagen, H., Ballard, G. (2021). Lean and flexible project delivery, *Applied Sciences*, Vol. 11, No. 19, Article No. 9287, doi: [10.3390/app11199287](https://doi.org/10.3390/app11199287).
- [18] Gosling, J., Towill, D.R., Naim, M.M., Dainty, A.R.J. (2015). Principles for the design and operation of engineer-to-order supply chains in the construction sector, *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 203-218, doi: [10.1080/09537287.2014.880816](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2014.880816).
- [19] Gössinger, R., Plitt, J. (2019). Order release in ETO systems - A basic optimization-based approach, *IFAC-Papers OnLine*, Vol. 52, No. 13, 2207-2212, doi: [10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.11.533](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.11.533).
- [20] Xie, W., Nelson, B.L., Barton, R.R. (2014). Statistical uncertainty analysis for stochastic simulation with dependent input models, In: *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference 2014*, Savannah, USA, 674-685, doi: [10.1109/WSC.2014.7019931](https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2014.7019931).
- [21] Fortes, C.S., Tenera, A.B., Cunha, P.F. (2023). Engineer-to-order challenges and issues: A systematic literature review of the manufacturing industry, *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 219, 1727-1734, doi: [10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.467](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.467).
- [22] Sylla, A., Vareilles, E., Coudert, T., Aldanondo, M., Geneste, L., Beauregard, Y. (2017). ETO bid solutions definition and selection using configuration models and a multi-criteria approach. In: *Proceedings of 2017 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, Singapore, 1833-1837, doi: [10.1109/IEEM.2017.8290208](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEM.2017.8290208).
- [23] Formoso, C., Tommelein, I.D., Saurin, T.A., Koskela, L., Fireman, M., Barth, K., Bataglin, F., Viana, D., Coelho, R., Singh, V., Zani, C., Ransolin, N., Disconzi, C. (2021). Slack in construction - Part 1: Core concepts, In: *Proceedings of 29th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC)*, Lima, Peru, 187-196, doi: [10.24928/2021/0183](https://doi.org/10.24928/2021/0183).
- [24] Theoharidou, M., Kotzanikolaou, P., Gritzalis, D. (2009). Risk-based criticality analysis, In: Palmer, C., Sheno, S. (eds.), *Critical infrastructure protection III. ICCIP 2009, IFIP Advances in information and communication technology*, Vol. 311, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 3-13, doi: [10.1007/978-3-642-04798-5_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04798-5_3).
- [25] Leksic, I., Stefanic, N., Veza, I. (2020). The impact of using different lean manufacturing tools on waste reduction, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 81-92, doi: [10.14743/apem2020.1.351](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2020.1.351).
- [26] Larsson, D., Ratnayake, R.M.C. (2021). Implementation of 5S+S for knowledge work in engineering projects. In: Dolgui, A., Bernard, A., Lemoine, D., von Cieminski, G., Romero, D. (eds.), *Advances in production management systems, Artificial intelligence for sustainable and resilient production systems. APMS 2021. IFIP Advances in information and communication technology*, Vol. 630, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 645-655, doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-85874-2_70](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85874-2_70).

- [27] Bejlegaard, M., Sarivan, I.-M., Waehrens, B.V. (2021). The influence of digital technologies on supply chain coordination strategies. *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing*, Vol. 14, No. 4, 636-658, [doi: 10.1108/jgoss-11-2019-0063](https://doi.org/10.1108/jgoss-11-2019-0063).
- [28] Vorkapić, M., Radovanović, F., Čočkaló, D., Đorđević, D. (2017). Applicability of the lean concept to the management of small-scale manufacturing enterprises in Serbia, *Tehnički vjesnik – Technical Gazette*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 1929-1934, [doi: 10.17559/TV-20150807194942](https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20150807194942).