

FDM process parameter selection by hybrid MCDM approach for flexural and compression strength maximization

Begic-Hajdarevic, D.^a, Klančnik, S.^b, Muhamedagić, K.^a, Čekić, A.^a, Cohodar Husic, M.^a, Ficko, M.^{b,*}, Gusel, L.^b

^aUniversity of Sarajevo, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

^bUniversity of Maribor, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Maribor, Slovenia

ABSTRACT

Fused deposition modelling (FDM) is one of the mostly used additive technologies, due to its ability to produce complex parts with good mechanical properties. The selection of FDM process parameters is crucial to achieve good mechanical properties of the manufactured parts. Therefore, in this paper, a hybrid multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) approach based on Preference Selection Index (PSI) and Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) is proposed for the selection of optimal process parameters in FDM printing of polylactic acid (PLA) parts. Printing temperature, layer thickness and raster angle were considered as input process parameters. In order to prove the effectiveness of the proposed hybrid PSI – TOPSIS method, the obtained results were compared with the results obtained with different MCDM methods. The obtained best option of process parameters was confirmed by other MCDM methods. The optimal combination of process parameters to achieve the maximal flexural strength, maximal flexural modulus and maximal compressive strength is selected using the hybrid PSI-TOPSIS method. The results show that the hybrid PSI-TOPSIS approach could be used for optimisation process parameters for any machining process.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Fused deposition modelling (FDM);
Multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM);
Hybrid PSI-TOPSIS method;
Process parameters;
Mechanical properties;
Optimization

*Corresponding author:

mirko.ficko@um.si
(Ficko, M.)

Article history:

Received 6 November 2023

Revised 6 March 2024

Accepted 13 March 2024



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

References

- [1] Shanmugam, V., Pavan, M.V., Babu, K., Karnan, B. (2021). Fused deposition modeling based polymeric materials and their performance: A review, *Polymer Composites*, Vol. 42, No. 11, 5656-5677, [doi: 10.1002/pc.26275](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.26275).
- [2] Tripathy, C.R., Sharma, R.K., Rattan, V.K. (2022). Effect of printing parameters on the mechanical behaviour of the thermoplastic polymer processed by FDM technique: A research review, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 279-294, [doi: 10.14743/apem2022.3.436](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2022.3.436).
- [3] Alafaghani, A., Qattawi, A. (2018). Investigating the effect of fused deposition modeling processing parameters using Taguchi design of experiment method, *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, Vol. 36, 164-174, [doi: 10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.09.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.09.025).
- [4] Shakeri, Z., Benfriha, K., Zirak, N., Shirinbayan, M. (2022). Mechanical strength and shape accuracy optimization of polyamide FFF parts using grey relational analysis, *Scientific Reports*, Vol. 12, No. 1, Article No. 13142, [doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-17302-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17302-z).
- [5] Rajamani, D., Balasubramanian, E., Yang, L.-J. (2022). Enhancing the surface quality of FDM processed flapping wing micro mechanism assembly through RSM-TOPSIS hybrid approach, *Processes*, Vol. 10, No. 11, Article No. 2457, [doi: 10.3390/pr10112457](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10112457).

- [6] Muhamedagic, K., Berus, L., Potočnik, D., Cekic, A., Begic-Hajdarevic, D., Cohodar Husic, M., Ficko, M. (2022). Effect of process parameters on tensile strength of FDM printed carbon fiber reinforced polyamide parts, *Applied Sciences*, Vol. 12, No. 12, Article No. 6028, [doi: 10.3390/app12126028](https://doi.org/10.3390/app12126028).
- [7] Omer, R., Mali, H.S., Singh, S.K. (2020). Tensile performance of additively manufactured short carbon fibre-PLA composites: Neural networking and GA for prediction and optimisation, *Plastics, Rubber and Composites: Macromolecular Engineering*, Vol. 49, No. 6, 271-280, [doi: 10.1080/14658011.2020.1744371](https://doi.org/10.1080/14658011.2020.1744371).
- [8] Yadav, D., Chhabra, D., Gupta, R.K., Phogat, A., Ahlawat, A. (2020). Modeling and analysis of significant process parameters of FDM 3D printer using ANFIS, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Vol. 21, Part 3, 1592-1604, [doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2019.11.227](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.11.227).
- [9] Deshwal, S., Kumar, A., Chhabra, D. (2020). Exercising hybrid statistical tools GA-RSM, GA-ANN and GA-ANFIS to optimize FDM process parameters for tensile strength improvement, *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, Vol. 31, 189-199, [doi: 10.1016/j.cirpj.2020.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2020.05.009).
- [10] Visagan, A., Ganesh, P. (2022). Parametric optimization of two point incremental forming using GRA and TOPSIS, *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, Vol. 21, No. 4, 615-626, [doi: 10.2507/IJSIMM21-4-622](https://doi.org/10.2507/IJSIMM21-4-622).
- [11] Ficko, M., Begic-Hajdarevic, D., Hadziabdic, V., Klančnik, S. (2020). Multi-response optimisation of turning process parameters with GRA and TOPSIS methods, *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 547-558, [doi: 10.2507/IJSIMM19-4-524](https://doi.org/10.2507/IJSIMM19-4-524).
- [12] Patalas-Maliszewska, J., Losyk, H. (2022). An approach to maintenance sustainability level assessment integrated with Industry 4.0 technologies using Fuzzy-TOPSIS: A real case study, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 455-468, [doi: 10.14743/apem2022.4.448](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2022.4.448).
- [13] Srinivasan, R., Pridhar, T., Ramprasath, L.S., Sree Charan, N., Ruban, W. (2020). Prediction of tensile strength in FDM printed ABS parts using response surface methodology (RSM), *Materials Today Proceedings*, Vol. 27, Part 2, 1827-1832, [doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2020.03.788](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.03.788).
- [14] John, J., Devjani, D., Ali, S., Abdallah, S., Pervaiz, S. (2023). Optimization of 3D printed polylactic acid structures with different infill patterns using Taguchi-grey relational analysis, *Advanced Industrial and Engineering Polymer Research*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 62-78, [doi: 10.1016/j.aiepr.2022.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiepr.2022.06.002).
- [15] Wankhede, V., Jagetiya, D., Joshi, A., Chaudhari, R. (2020). Experimental investigation of FDM process parameters using Taguchi analysis, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Vol. 27, Part 3, 2117-2120, [doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2019.09.078](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.09.078).
- [16] Chohan, J.S., Kumar, R., Singh, T.B., Singh, S., Sharma, S., Singh, J., Mia, M., Pimenov, D.Y., Chattopadhyaya, S., Dwivedi, S.P., Kapłonek, W. (2020). Taguchi S/N and TOPSIS based optimization of fused deposition modelling and vapor finishing process for manufacturing of ABS plastic parts, *Materials*, Vol. 13, No. 22, Article No. 5176, [doi: 10.3390/ma13225176](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13225176).
- [17] Patel, P.B., Patel, J.D., Maniya, K.D. (2018). Application of PSI methods to select FDM process parameter for polylactic acid, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 4022-4028, [doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2017.11.662](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.11.662).
- [18] Madić, M., Antuheviciene, J., Radovanović, M., Petković, D. (2017). Determination of laser cutting process conditions using the preference selection index method, *Optics & Laser Technology*, Vol. 89, 214-220, [doi: 10.1016/j.optlastec.2016.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2016.10.005).
- [19] Yadav, S., Pathak, V.K., Gangwar, S. (2019). A novel hybrid TOPSIS-PSI approach for material selection in marine applications, *Sādhanā*, Vol. 44, Article No. 58, [doi: 10.1007/s12046-018-1020-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-018-1020-x).
- [20] Trung, D.D., Thinh, H.X. (2021). A multi-criteria decision-making in turning process using the MAIRCA, EAMR, MARCOS and TOPSIS methods: A comparative study, *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 443-456, [doi: 10.14743/apem2021.4.412](https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2021.4.412).